arXiv:0903.3301v1 [math-ph] 19 Mar 2009

NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS WITH STRONGLY SINGULAR POTENTIALS

JACOPO BELLAZZINI AND CLAUDIO BONANNO

Abstract. In this paper we look for standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}+\Delta\psi-g(|y|)\psi-W'(|\psi|)\frac{\psi}{|\psi|}=0$$

with cylindrically symmetric potentials g vanishing at infinity and non-increasing, and a C^1 nonlinear term satisfying weak assumptions. In particular we show the existence of standing waves with non-vanishing angular momentum with prescribed L^2 norm. The solutions are obtained via a minimization argument, and the proof is given for an abstract functional which presents lack of compactness. As a particular case we prove the existence of standing waves with non-vanishing angular momentum for the nonlinear hydrogen atom equation.

1. Introduction

In recent years much attention has been devoted to eigenvalue problems for elliptic equations, mainly for applications to nonlinear field equations, such as Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon equations.

Let $N \geq 3$ and $k = 2$. We write $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as $x = (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$. Consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) in $\mathbb{R}^N$

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}+\Delta\psi-g(|y|)\psi-W'(|\psi|)\frac{\psi}{|\psi|}=0 \tag{1.1}$$

with a potential g vanishing at infinity and non-increasing, and W a nonlinear term of the kind studied in [7]. The existence of concentrated solutions of (1.1) can be obtained by looking for solutions of the form

$$\psi(t,x)=u(x)\,e^{i(\ell\,\theta(y)-\lambda t)},\qquad u\geq 0,\ \lambda\in\mathbb{R},\ \ell\in\mathbb{Z} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\theta(y)$ is the angular variable in the plane (y_1, y_2). In particular if $\ell \neq 0$ these solutions have non-vanishing angular momentum and are called vortices. With this ansatz, the NLS reduces to

$$-\triangle u+\left(\frac{\ell^2}{|y|^2}+g(|y|)\right)u+W'(u)=\lambda u \tag{1.3}$$

The problem of existence of vortices for nonlinear field equations has been studied recently for $g \equiv 0$ in [6], [1], [2] and [4]. In these papers, solutions of (1.3) have been found as critical points of a functional $J(u)$ constrained to the manifold of functions with fixed L^2 norm. In the context of NLS, this constraint is natural since the L^2 norm of a solution is an invariant of motion. Moreover, it could be important to obtain points of minimum to

---

Authors are partially supported by M.I.U.R project PRIN2007 "Variational and topological methods in the study of nonlinear phenomena".

have orbital stability for the standing waves (1.2). This is for example the case of solutions with $\ell = 0$. The main difficulty in this minimization problem is the lack of compactness due to translations along the z-coordinates. For this reason it was difficult to prove that the obtained solution had the desired L^2 norm. This was solved in [4] under further assumptions on W.

In this paper, letting $2 \leq k \leq N$, we consider the general eigenvalue problem

$$-\triangle u + V(|y|)u + W'(u) = \lambda u \tag{1.4}$$

where $V(s) : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is assumed to be vanishing at infinity and non-increasing, and the nonlinear term W is of the kind studied in [7]. For precise assumptions see Section 2. In particular (1.3) is of this form with $V(|y|) = \left(\frac{\ell^2}{|y|^2} + g(|y|)\right)$ where $g(|y|)$ can be strongly singular as $|y|^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha > 0$. We prove the existence of solutions of (1.4) with any desired L^2 norm large enough. These solutions are obtained solving the minimization problem of the functional

$$J(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}V(|y|)\, u^2 + W(u)\right) dx$$

restricted to cylindrically symmetric functions and constrained to the manifold

$$B_\rho := \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2\, dx = \rho^2\right\}$$

The eigenvalue λ is found as the Lagrange multiplier of the minimization problem.

As far as we know, the only existence result for elliptic equations with singular potentials of the form $V(|y|) \sim |y|^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \neq 2$ is contained in [3], where it is considered the case $V(|y|) = |y|^{-\alpha}$ with $\lambda = 0$, and results depend on the relation between α and the growth conditions of W.

In Section 2 we introduce the problem in details. The proof of the existence of the constrained point of minimum for J is given in Section 3. We first introduce an abstract minimization problem for functionals of the form

$$I(u) := \left(\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 + T(u)\right)$$

where $\|u\|$ is a suitable norm for functions in H^1 and T is a real operator. Under some weak assumptions on the behaviour of T along minimizing sequences, we prove in Theorem 3.1 the existence of a point of minimum of I constrained to the manifold B_ρ. In particular we obtain strong convergence in H^1 for any minimizing sequence. This approach has been inspired by [5], where in the case of non-singular potentials and nonautonomous power-like nonlinear terms it was obtained the orbital stability for standing waves of NLS.

In the application to J, we have $T(u) := \int W(u)dx$. The main difficulty in dealing with such term is the lack of compactness on the space of cylindrically symmetric functions. The idea of the proof is the following: first we obtain an a-priori estimate to guarantee the existence of a weak limit $\bar{u}$; second we prove that $||\bar{u}||_{L^2} \neq 0$ (by means of a compactness lemma contained in [9]); as last step, by using the abstract Theorem 3.1, we show that $||\bar{u}||_{L^2} = \rho$ and that $u_n \to \bar{u}$ strongly, and therefore $\bar{u}$ is solution of (1.4).

Let us point out that the idea of the proof of the abstract Theorem 3.1 can be applied in the case when $V \equiv 0$, i.e when the problem does not contain the singular term. Under the same assumptions, we obtain the orbital stability for a large class of NLS also involving the bilaplacian operator as in [5]. Indeed, once we know that the weak limit does not vanish (when $I(u) < 0$ for some u) by means of the classical concentration-compactness lemma of Lions [10], then Theorem 3.1 guarantees that $\bar{u}$ has the right norm. Finally by the Cazenave-Lions argument in [8] we have orbital stability.

Finally we show that the abstract Theorem 3.1 can be applied also to the nonlinear hydrogen atom equation

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + \Delta\psi + \frac{1}{|x|}\psi - \Omega\psi + |\psi|^{p-2}\psi = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

with $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$. With the ansatz (1.2) with $\ell \neq 0$, equation (1.5) reduces to

$$-\triangle u + \left(\frac{\ell^2}{|y|^2} + \Omega - \frac{1}{|x|}\right) u - u^{p-1} = \lambda u \tag{1.6}$$

where now the potential V depends on $x = (y, z)$ and not only on y. The details are given in Section 4.

2. The elliptic problem

We look for solutions of the equation

$$-\triangle u + V(|y|)\, u + W'(u) = \lambda u$$

where $x = (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$, with $N \geq 3$ and $2 \leq k \leq N$, λ is a real parameter, and V and W satisfy the following assumptions:

- $V : (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and

$$V(s) \geq 0 \tag{\textbf{V1}}$$

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} V(s) = 0 \tag{\textbf{V2}}$$

$$V(\theta s) \leq V(s) \quad \forall\, \theta \geq 1 \tag{\textbf{V3}}$$

- $W : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is even and of class C^1, and writing $W(s) = \frac{\Omega}{2}\, s^2 + R(s)$ with $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$, the following assumptions hold:

$$R(s) > -b_1 s^2 - b_2 s^\gamma, \text{ for some } b_1, b_2 > 0 \text{ and } \gamma < 2 + \frac{4}{N} \tag{\textbf{W1}}$$

$$|R'(s)| \leq c_1|s|^{q_1-1} + c_2|s|^{q_2-1}, \text{ for some } c_1, c_2 > 0 \text{ and } 2 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 < \frac{2N}{N-2} \tag{\textbf{W2}}$$

$$\text{there exists } s_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ such that } W(s_0) < 0 \tag{\textbf{W3}}$$

Assumptions on V are very general. In particular we don't require any regularity or boundedness. Singular potentials of the form $|y|^{-\alpha}$, with $\alpha > 0$, are a typical example to which we are interested. Notice that (**V1**) follows from (**V2**) and (**V3**), and it is explicitly stated for simplicity. Assumptions on W are classical after the paper [7]. Assumption (**W1**) is necessary only to have that $J_\rho > -\infty$ for ρ big enough. Assumption (**W2**) is fundamental to show the existence of the minimum. In this setting, we remark that we are working with a C^1 functional which is not weakly semi-continuous and with a non-compact constraint. We will obtain the sufficient conditions for strong convergence only for minimizing sequences.

Finally assumption (**W3**) is natural as it is necessary for the existence of ground states for the elliptic equation (1.4) with $V \equiv 0$.

To solve (1.4), we study the minimization problem of the functional

$$J(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} V(|y|)\, u^2 + W(u) \right) dx \tag{2.1}$$

constrained to the manifold

$$B_\rho := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \, dx = \rho^2 \right\}$$

By standard arguments, since W is even we can consider only non-negative solutions u, and since the functional J is invariant under the action of the group $O(k)$ of orthogonal transformations on the first k variables of $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we can restrict the constraint B_ρ to cylindrically symmetric functions of the form $u = u(|y|, z)$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N-k}$, we use the notation $\tilde{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{O})$ for the Hilbert space obtained as closure of $C_0^\infty((\mathbb{R}^k \backslash \{0\}) \times \mathcal{O})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_H^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{O}} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + V(|y|)\, |u|^2 + |u|^2 \right) dx \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover we introduce the notation for the "cylindrical" part of the norm

$$\|u\|_c^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{O}} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + V(|y|)\, |u|^2 \right) dx \tag{2.3}$$

The subspace of $\tilde{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{O})$ of cylindrically symmetric functions will be denoted by $H(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{O})$, and simply by H when $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$, hence

$$H := \left\{ u \in \tilde{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}) \; : \; u = u(|y|, z) \right\}$$

Notice that $H \subset \tilde{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, hence we can use classical Sobolev estimates.

We now restrict the action of the functional J to H and define

$$J_\rho = \inf_{H \cap B_\rho} J(u) \tag{2.4}$$

Our main results is

Theorem 2.1. *If (**V1**)-(**V3**) and (**W1**)-(**W3**) hold, then for ρ big enough the infimum J_ρ defined in (2.4) is achieved.*

Under assumptions (**V1**) and (**W2**), the functional J in (2.1) is of class C^1 on H, and its critical points constrained to B_ρ satisfy (1.4) for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, which is the Lagrange multiplier. Hence as a corollary of Theorem 2.1 and of the Palais principle of symmetric criticality we get

Theorem 2.2. *If (**V1**)-(**V3**) and (**W1**)-(**W3**) hold, then for ρ big enough equation (1.4) admits non-negative weak solutions u of L^2-norm equal to ρ.*

By Theorem 2.2, a solution u satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + V(|y|)\, u\, v + W'(u)\, v - \lambda\, u\, v \right) dx = 0 \qquad \forall\, v \in \tilde{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}) \tag{2.5}$$

However, we now prove that the point of minimum u satisfies (2.5) also for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, hence it is a solution of (1.4) in the sense of distributions.

Theorem 2.3. *If (**V1**) and (**W2**) hold and $u \in H$ is a non-negative weak solution of (1.4), then it is a solution also in the sense of distributions, that is*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi + V(|y|)\, u\, \phi + W'(u)\, \phi - \lambda\, u\, \phi\,)\, dx = 0 \qquad \forall\, \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. We consider the sequence of C^∞ non-negative functions

$$0 \le \eta_n \le 1, \qquad \eta_n(y,z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ |y| \ge \frac{2}{n} \\ 0 & \text{if } \ |y| \le \frac{1}{n} \end{cases} \qquad \text{and } \ |\nabla \eta_n| \le K\, n$$

for a positive constant K. Moreover we assume that η_n is non-decreasing along radii starting from $\{y = 0\}$. Then for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have $\eta_n \phi \in \tilde{H}^1$, hence we can choose $v = \eta_n \phi$ in (2.5). Let us assume $\phi \ge 0$. Otherwise we let $\phi = \phi^+ - \phi^-$ and show (2.6) separately for ϕ^+ and ϕ^-.

Notice that since u, η_n and ϕ are non-negative, the sequence $\{u\, \phi\, \eta_n\}$ is non-decreasing and non-negative, and it converges almost everywhere to $u\, \phi$. Moreover, since $u \in H$ and W satisfies (**W2**), by classical Sobolev estimates, we get $u\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $|W'(u)|\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, since $\eta_n \le 1$, we can apply Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W'(u)\, \phi\, \eta_n\ dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W'(u)\, \phi\ dx$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u\, \phi\, \eta_n\ dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u\, \phi\ dx$$

We write $\nabla u \cdot \nabla(\phi \eta_n) = (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \eta_n)\, \phi + (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi)\, \eta_n$, and since $u \in H$ we have $|\nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence as above

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi)\, \eta_n\ dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi\ dx$$

Moreover, letting $A_n = \left\{|y| \le \frac{2}{n}\right\} \cap \operatorname{supp} \phi$, it holds $m(A_n) \le \mathit{const.}\ \frac{1}{n^k}$, hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u \cdot \nabla \eta_n|\, \phi\ dx \le \mathit{const}\, \frac{1}{n^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}\, \|\phi\|_\infty \left(\int_{A_n} |\nabla u|^2\ dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = o(1)$$

for all $k \ge 2$ since $u \in H$ and $m(A_n) \to 0$.

Writing (2.5) with $v = \eta_n \phi$, using previous results we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|y|)\, u\, \phi\, \eta_n\ dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi + W'(u)\, \phi - \lambda\, u\, \phi)\ dx \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.7}$$

Since the sequence $\{V(|y|)\, u\, \phi\, \eta_n\}$ is non-decreasing and non-negative, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|y|)\, u\, \phi\ dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(|y|)\, u\, \phi\, \eta_n\ dx$$

which together with (2.7) implies (2.6). ☐

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

We first prove an abstract result. Consider the minimization problem

$$I_\rho = \inf_{H \cap B_\rho} I(u) \tag{3.1}$$

$$I(u) := \left(\frac{1}{2} \|u\|_c^2 + T(u) \right) \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\|u\|_c^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{O}} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + V(|y|)\, |u|^2 \right) dx$$

with V satisfying (**V1**)-(**V3**) and T is a real operator on H. Then

Theorem 3.1. *Let T be differentiable on H and $\{u_n\} \subset H \cap B_\rho$ be a minimizing sequence for (3.1). Assume also that*

$$u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \neq 0; \tag{3.3}$$

$$T(u_n - \bar{u}) + T(\bar{u}) = T(u_n) + o(1); \tag{3.4}$$

$$T(\alpha_n(u_n - \bar{u})) - T(u_n - \bar{u}) = o(1) \qquad \forall\, \{\alpha_n\} \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } \alpha_n \to 1; \tag{3.5}$$

$$< T'(u_n), u_n >= O(1) \tag{3.6}$$

$$< T'(u_n) - T'(u_m), u_n - u_m >= o(1) \qquad \text{as } n, m \to \infty \tag{3.7}$$

$$T(u_\theta) \leq \theta^2 T(u) \qquad \forall\, u \in H \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$u_\theta(x) := u\left(\frac{x}{\theta^{\frac{2}{N}}} \right), \theta > 1$$

Then $\bar{u} \in B_\rho$ and, up to a sub-sequence, $\|u_n - \bar{u}\|_H \to 0$ (see (2.2)).

Proof. By (3.3) we have $\|\bar{u}\|_{L^2} = \mu \in (0, \rho]$ and we assume that $\mu < \rho$, then we obtain a contradiction. Notice that again by (3.3) we have

$$\|u_n - \bar{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{u}\|_{L^2}^2 = \|u_n\|_{L^2}^2 + o(1)$$

hence

$$\alpha_n := \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 - \mu^2}}{\|u_n - \bar{u}\|_{L^2}} \to 1 \tag{3.9}$$

By definition (3.1)

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|_c^2 + T(u_n) = I_\rho + o(1)$$

and by (3.4)

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u_n - \bar{u}\|_c^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\bar{u}\|_c^2 + T(u_n - \bar{u}) + T(\bar{u}) = I_\rho + o(1)$$

Hence, using the sequence α_n defined in (3.9), by (3.5)

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\alpha_n(u_n - \bar{u})\|_c^2 + T(\alpha_n(u_n - \bar{u})) + \frac{1}{2}\|\bar{u}\|_c^2 + T(\bar{u}) = I_\rho + o(1)$$

For u_θ we have $\|u_\theta\|_{L^2} = \theta\rho$ and

$$||u_\theta||_c^2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\theta^2}{\theta^{4/N}} |\nabla u|^2 + \theta^2\, V(|\theta y|)\, u^2 dx)\right) <$$
$$< \theta^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + V(|y|)\, u^2 dx)\right) = \theta^2 \|u(x)\|_c^2.$$

By (3.8)

$$I_{\theta\rho} = \inf \left(\frac{1}{2}\|u_\theta\|_c^2 + T(u_\theta)\right) < \inf \theta^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_c^2 + T(u)\right) = \theta^2 I_\rho$$

and thus

$$I_\rho < I_\mu + I_{\sqrt{\rho^2-\mu^2}} \tag{3.10}$$

for any $\rho > 0$ and $\theta > 1$.
Now, notice that $\|\alpha_n(u_n - \bar{u})\|_{L^2} = \sqrt{\rho^2 - \mu^2}$, hence

$$I_{\sqrt{\rho^2-\mu^2}} + I_\mu \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\alpha_n(u_n - \bar{u})\|_c^2 + T(\alpha_n(u_n - \bar{u})) + \frac{1}{2}\|\bar{u}\|_c^2 + T(\bar{u}) = I_\rho + o(1)$$

which is in contradiction with (3.10). This implies that $\|\bar{u}\|_{L^2} = \rho$.

¿From $\bar{u} \in B_\rho$ it follows that $\|u_n - \bar{u}\|_{L^2} = o(1)$, hence it remains to show that $\|u_n - \bar{u}\|_c = o(1)$ up to a sub-sequence. By Ekeland principle, we can assume that there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for the functional I defined in (3.2)

$$< I'(u_n) - \lambda_n\, u_n, v >= o(1) \qquad \forall\, v \in H$$

where $< \cdot, \cdot >$ denotes the duality pairing. It follows that

$$< I'(u_n) - \lambda_n\, u_n, u_n >= o(1)$$

since $\|u_n\|_H$ is bounded. From this and assumption (3.6) it follows that the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is bounded, hence up to a sub-sequence there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda_n \to \lambda$.

We now have

$$< I'(u_n) - I'(u_m) - \lambda_n u_n + \lambda_m u_m\ ,\ u_n - u_m >= o(1) \qquad \text{as } n, m \to \infty$$

hence, using $(\lambda_n - \lambda_m) < u_m, u_n - u_m >= o(1)$,

$$\|u_n - u_m\|_c^2 + < T'(u_n) - T'(u_m), u_n - u_m > -\lambda_n \|u_n - u_m\|_{L^2}^2 = o(1)$$

Since $\|u_n - u_m\|_{L^2} = o(1)$, $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ and (3.7) holds, we obtain that $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in H. Hence $\|u_n - \bar{u}\|_H \to 0$. □

Remark 3.1. Notice that (3.4)-(3.7) in the previous theorem are assumed only for minimizing sequences. Moreover (3.5) holds for example for uniformly continuous operators T.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is now reduced to show that assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied for J defined in (2.1), with $T(u) = \int W(u)$. This is obtained by the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *If **(W1)** holds then $J_\rho > -\infty$, and any minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H \cap B_\rho$, i.e. $J(u_n) \to J_\rho$, is bounded in H.*

Proof. We apply the Sobolev inequality (see [12])

$$\|u\|_{L^q} \leq b_q \|u\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{N}{q}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{N}{2}-\frac{N}{q}} \tag{3.11}$$

that holds for $2 \leq q \leq 2^*$ when $N \geq 3$. From (3.11) it follows that for any $u \in B_\rho$

$$\|u\|_{L^q}^q \leq b_{q,\rho} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{qN}{2}-N}. \tag{3.12}$$

Now, by (3.12) and (**W1**), for all $u \in H \cap B_\rho$

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\geq \int \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}V(|y|)u^2 + \frac{\Omega}{2}u^2 - b_1 u^2 - b_2 u^\gamma\right) dx \\ &\geq \int \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}V(|y|)u^2\right) dx - b_2 b_{\gamma,\rho} \left(\int |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{\gamma N}{4}-\frac{N}{2}} + \left(\frac{\Omega}{2} - c_1\right)\rho^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$, it holds $\frac{\gamma N}{2} - N < 2$, hence have

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_c^2 + o\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\right).$$

The proof follows easily. ☐

Lemma 3.2. *If (**V2**) and (**W3**) hold, then there exists ρ_0 such that $J_\rho < 0$ for all $\rho > \rho_0$.*

Proof. Let s_0 satisfy $W(s_0) < 0$ as in (**W3**). We consider the sequence of functions $u_n(x) = u_n(|y|, z) = f(|z|)\, v_n(|y|)$ with

$$v_n(|y|) = \begin{cases} s_0(|y| - R_n + 1) & \text{for } R_n - 1 \leq |y| \leq R_n \\ s_0 & \text{for } R_n \leq |y| \leq 2R_n \\ s_0(2R_n - |y| + 1) & \text{for } 2R_n \leq |y| \leq 2R_n + 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |y| \geq 2R_n + 1 \end{cases}$$

$$f(|z|) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq |z| \leq 1 \\ 2 - |z| & \text{for } 1 \leq |y| \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text{for } |y| \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

and assume $R_n \to \infty$. Then $u_n \in H$ and

$$\int |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx = O(R_n^{k-1})$$

$$\int V(|y|)\, u_n^2 \, dx = \left(\int_{R_n}^{2R_n} V(r)\, r^{k-1}\, s_0^2 \, dr\right)\left(\int_{|z|\leq 2} f(z) dz\right) + o(R_n^k) = O(R_n^k\, V(R_n))$$

$$\int W(u_n)\, dx = \left(\int_{R_n}^{2R_n} r^{k-1}\, W(s_0)\, dr\right)\left(\int_{|z|\leq 2} f(z) dz\right) + o(R_n^{k-1}) = O(R_n^k)$$

Since $W(s_0) < 0$ and $V(R_n) \to 0$, it follows that $J(u_n)$ is negative for n large enough, and $\|u_n\|_{L^2}^2 = O(R_n^k)$. ☐

Lemma 3.3. *Let $2 \leq k < N$ and $\mathcal{O}$ a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N-k}$. Then the embedding $H(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact for all $p \in (2, 2^*)$. If $k = N$ then the embedding $H(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact for all $p \in (2, 2^*)$.*

Proof. It follows from the compactness results in [9] for $2 \leq k < N$ and in [11] for $k = N$. ☐

Lemma 3.4. *Let $J_\rho < 0$ and u_n be a minimizing sequence for (2.4) under assumptions (**W2**). Then, up to translations in $\mathbb{R}^{N-k}$, we have $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since $J_\rho < 0$, by (**W2**) there exists $q \in (2, 2^*)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_n^q \, dx \geq \beta > 0.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.1, $\|u_n\|_H$ is bounded and there exists $\bar{u} \in H$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$. It remains to prove that $\bar{u} \not\equiv 0$.

Now we introduce for every $j \equiv (j_{k+1}, j_{k+2}, ..., j_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-k}$ the cube

$$Q_j \equiv [j_{k+1}, j_{k+1} + 1) \times [j_{k+2}, j_{k+2} + 1) \times ... \times [j_N, j_N + 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$$

Let $S_j \equiv \mathbb{R}^k \times Q_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 < \beta \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^q \, dx = \sum_j \left(\int_{S_j} |u_n|^q \, dydz \right) = \\
= \sum_j \left(\int_{S_j} |u_n|^{q-2} |u_n|^2 dydz \right) \leq \sum_j \left(\int_{S_j} |u_n|^q dydz \right)^{\frac{q-2}{q}} \left(\int_{S_j} |u_n|^q dydz \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \leq \\
\leq \sup_j \left(\int_{S_j} |u_n|^q dydz \right) \left(\sum_j \int_{S_j} |u_n|^q dydz \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \leq \\
\leq const \, \sup_j \left(\int_{S_j} |u_n|^q dydz \right) \, ||u_n||_H^{\frac{2}{q}}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a sequence of cubes Q_{j^n} such that

$$\int_{S_{j^n}} |u_n|^q dydz > const > 0$$

It follows that the minimizing sequence $v_n(x) := u_n(x + j^n)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k \times Q_0} |v_n|^q \, dx > const > 0$$

hence, by Lemma 3.3, the weak limit $\bar{u} \not\equiv 0$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $(u_n) \in L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $1 < q_1 \leq q_2 < \infty$, and $\tilde{T}(u) = \int R(u) dx$ with $R : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ of class C^1. If*

- *(u_n) is bounded in $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;*
- *$u_n \to u$ almost everywhere;*
- *$|R'(s)| \leq b_1 |s|^{q_1 - 1} + b_2 |s|^{q_2 - 1}$, $1 < q_1 \leq q_2 < \infty$*

Then

$$\tilde{T}(u_n - u) + \tilde{T}(u) = \tilde{T}(u_n) + o(1).$$

Proof. First of all we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
|R(u_n) - R(u_n - u)| = |R'(u_n - u + \theta u)| \, |u| \leq \\
\leq c_1 |u_n - u|^{q_1 - 1} \, |u| + c_2 |u_n - u|^{q_2 - 1} \, |u| + c_3 (|u|^{q_1} + |u|^{q_2})
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\theta \in (0,1)$. Moreover, applying for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$ the Young inequality

$$ab \leq \epsilon a^p + c(\epsilon) b^q \qquad \text{with } a,b>0 \text{ and } \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$$

with coniugated exponents $\frac{q_1}{q_1-1}, q_1$ and $\frac{q_2}{q_2-1}, q_2$ we get

$$|R(u_n) - R(u_n-u) - R(u)| \leq \epsilon c_4(|u_n-u|^{q_1} + |u_n-u|^{q_2}) + c(\epsilon)c_5(|u|^{q_1}+|u|^{q_2}) + |R(u)|$$

Hence, setting

$$f_n^\epsilon := |R(u_n) - R(u_n-u) - R(u)| - \epsilon c_4(|u_n-u|^{q_1} + |u_n-u|^{q_2})$$

the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int f_n^\epsilon dx = 0.$$

The proof is finished by writing

$$|\tilde{T}(u_n) - \tilde{T}(u_n-u) - \tilde{T}(u)| \leq \int |R(u_n) - R(u_n-u) - R(u)| dx \leq$$

$$\leq \int f_n^\epsilon dx + \epsilon c_4 \left(\int (|u_n-u|^{q_1} + |u_n-u|^{q_2}) dx \right) \leq o(1) + \epsilon c_4 K$$

where $K := sup_n | \int (|u_n-u|^{q_1} + |u_n-u|^{q_2}|) dx$. ☐

Lemma 3.6. *If (**V2**) and (**W2**) hold, then the operator $T(u) = \int W(u)$ satisfies (3.4)-(3.7) for any minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H \cap B_\rho$, for ρ large enough.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, any minimizing sequence is bounded in the H norm. Hence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in all L^p norms for $p \in [2, 2^*]$ and there exists $\bar{u} \in H$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$. Moreover, classical compact embeddings of H into L^p when restricted to open bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N$ imply almost everywhere convergence of u_n to $\bar{u}$.

Writing $T(u) = \int W(s) = \int \frac{\Omega}{2} u^2 + \tilde{T}(u)$, condition (3.4) is satisfied for $\int \frac{\Omega}{2} u^2$ by a standard argument, and for $\tilde{T}(u)$ by (**W2**) and Lemma 3.5.

Condition (3.5) is immediate for $\int \frac{\Omega}{2} u^2$. For $\tilde{T}(u)$ we write

$$\left| \int \left(R(\alpha_n(u_n-\bar{u})) - R(u_n-\bar{u}) \right) dx \right| \leq |\alpha_n - 1| \int |R'((1+\theta)(u_n-\bar{u}))| \, |u_n-\bar{u}| \, dx$$

for some $\theta \in (0,1)$. Hence, by (**W2**),

$$|\tilde{T}(\alpha_n(u_n-\bar{u})) - \tilde{T}(u_n-\bar{u})| \leq const \, |\alpha_n - 1| \; \max\{ \|u_n-\bar{u}\|_{L^q}^q, \|u_n-\bar{u}\|_{L^p}^p \}$$

Condition (3.6) follows using (**W2**) as above, and by boundedness of $\|u_n-\bar{u}\|_{L^p}$ for all $p \in [2, 2^*]$.

It remains to prove (3.7). By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, we obtain $\bar{u} \not\equiv 0$ if the minimization problem (2.4) is studied in $H \cap B_\rho$ for ρ large enough. Hence we can repeat the proof of Theorem 3.1 to obtain that the weak limit $\bar{u}$ is in B_ρ. From $\bar{u} \in B_\rho$ it follows that $\|u_n - \bar{u}\|_{L^2} = o(1)$. This implies (3.7) for the term $\int \frac{\Omega}{2} u^2$.

We now prove this condition for $\tilde{T}(u)$. We recall that $\|u_n - \bar{u}\|_H = O(1)$. Now, the following inequality for $v \in H$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^p \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2\right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{2^*}}$$

with $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$, $p \in (2, 2^*)$ and $\beta = \frac{N}{2}(p-2) \in (0, p)$, and the classical Sobolev theorem imply

$$\|u_n - \bar{u}\|^p_{L^p} \leq const\, \|u_n - \bar{u}\|^{p-\beta}_{L^2} \|u_n - \bar{u}\|^{\beta}_H = o(1) \tag{3.13}$$

for all $p \in (2, 2^*)$. The proof of (3.7) is now finished by writing

$$\left|\int (R'(u_n) - R'(u_m))(u_n - u_m)\, dx\right| \leq \int (|R'(u_n)| + |R'(u_m)|)\, |u_n - u_m|\, dx$$

Using (**W2**) and

$$\int |u_n|^{p-1} |u_n - u_m|\, dx \leq \left(\int |u_n|^p\, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int |u_n - u_m|^p\, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

which holds for all $p \in (2, 2^*)$, we get

$$\left|\int (R'(u_n) - R'(u_m))(u_n - u_m)\, dx\right| \leq const\ (\|u_n - u_m\|_{L^p} + \|u_n - u_m\|_{L^q})$$

where p, q are as in (**W2**). Condition (3.7) now follows from (3.13). ☐

Lemma 3.7. *Let* $T(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(u)dx$. *For any* $\theta > 1$ *and* $u \in H$ *we have* $T(u_\theta) = \theta^2 T(u)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. If (**V1**)-(**V3**) and (**W1**)-(**W3**) hold, by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, 3.6 and 3.7, we can apply Theorem 3.1 to the functional J defined in (2.1). Hence for any minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H \cap B_\rho$ with ρ large enough, there exists $\bar{u} \in B_\rho$ such that $\|u_n - \bar{u}\|_H \to 0$. Now, from the continuity of the functional J it follows that $J(\bar{u}) = J_\rho$. ☐

4. APPLICATION TO NONLINEAR HYDROGEN ATOM

For the nonlinear hydrogen atom equation (1.5), we prove the existence of solutions of the elliptic equation

$$-\triangle u + \left(\frac{\ell^2}{|y|^2} + \Omega - \frac{1}{|x|}\right) u - u^{p-1} = \lambda u \tag{4.1}$$

The solutions of (4.1) are critical points of the functional

$$G(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\ell^2}{|y|^2}u^2 + \frac{\Omega}{2}u^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{u^2}{|x|} - \frac{u^p}{p}\right) dx$$

restricted to cylindrically symmetric functions and constrained to the manifold

$$B_\rho := \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2\, dx = \rho^2\right\}$$

We may assume $\Omega > 1$. Indeed if $\Omega \leq 1$, we first look for solutions of the elliptic equation

$$-\triangle u + \left(\frac{\ell^2}{|y|^2} + 2 - \frac{1}{|x|}\right) u - u^{p-1} = \lambda u$$

Then given such a solution u, the function

$$\psi(t,x) = u(x)e^{i(\ell\theta(y)-(\lambda-2+\Omega)t)}$$

is a solution of (1.5).

We first notice that

Lemma 4.1. *For $\Omega > 1$ and $\ell \neq 0$ it holds*

$$V(x) := \frac{\ell^2}{|y|^2} + \Omega - \frac{1}{|x|} \geq 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Hence we can use the setting introduced in Section 2 with $k = 2$. We introduce $\tilde{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{O})$ as the closure of $C_0^\infty((\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathcal{O})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathcal{O}} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2\right) dx \tag{4.2}$$

The subspace of $\tilde{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{O})$ of cylindrically symmetric functions will be denoted by $H(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{O})$, and simply by H when $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^{N-2}$, hence

$$H := \left\{u \in \tilde{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{N-2}) \ : \ u = u(|y|, z)\right\}$$

We now restrict the action of the functional G to H and define

$$G_\rho = \inf_{H\cap B_\rho} G(u) \tag{4.3}$$

We prove the following theorem

Theorem 4.1. *Let $N \geq 3$ and $2 < p < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$. Then for ρ big enough the infimum (4.3) of G is achieved. Hence there are radially symmetric solutions (in the sense of distributions) of (4.1) with prescribed L^2 norm large enough.*

We now show how to obtain the existence of the point of minimum. That this implies the existence of weak solutions of (4.1) is immediate. That these solutions are also solutions in the sense of distributions follows as in Theorem 2.3.

The proof follows as in Theorem 3.1 and the continuity of G in H. Hence we need to show that G satisfies assumptions (3.3)-(3.7). Moreover assumption (3.8) is replaced by

Lemma 4.2. *For any $\mu \in (0,\rho)$ it holds $G_\rho < G_\mu + G_{\sqrt{\rho^2-\mu^2}}$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $G_{\theta\rho} < \theta^2 G_\rho$ for all $\theta > 1$. For $u \in H \cap B_\rho$ we set $u_\theta(x) \equiv \theta u(x)$. Clearly $\|u_\theta\|_2^2 = \theta^2\rho^2$. Using the norm (4.2) we get

$$G_{\theta\rho} \leq \inf\left(\theta^2\|u\|^2 - \frac{\theta^p}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^p dx\right) < \inf \theta^2\left(\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^p dx\right) = \theta^2 G_\rho$$

□

Following the ideas of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4, we obtain

Lemma 4.3. *$G_\rho > -\infty$, and any minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H \cap B_\rho$, i.e. $G(u_n) \to G_\rho$, is bounded in H.*

Lemma 4.4. *There exists ρ_0 such that $G_\rho < 0$ for all $\rho > \rho_0$.*

Proof. It follows as in Lemma 3.2 by choosing s_0 such that $\left(\frac{\Omega}{2}s_0^2 - \frac{s_0^p}{p}\right) < 0$. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $G_\rho < 0$ and u_n be a minimizing sequence. Then, up to translations in $\mathbb{R}$, we have $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \neq 0$.*

Proof. It follows as in Lemma 3.4 by choosing simply by noticing that translations along the z variables make the functional G to decrease. Hence $v_n(x) := u_n(|y|, z + j^n)$ satisfies $G(v_n) \leq G(u_n)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5, the functional G satisfies (3.3) of Theorem 3.1. Conditions (3.4)-(3.7) are obtained as in Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6. By Lemma 4.2 we have the subadditivity condition $I_\rho < I_\mu + I_{\sqrt{\rho^2-\mu^2}}$ that in Theorem 3.1 is guaranteed by condition (3.8).

Hence we can apply Theorem 3.1 to G. The proof follows from the continuity of G. $\square$

References

[1] M.Badiale, V.Benci, S.Rolando, *A nonlinear elliptic equation with singular potential and applications to nonlinear field equations*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **9** (2007), 355–381

[2] M.Badiale, V.Benci, S.Rolando, *Three dimensional vortices in the nonlinear wave equation*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., Serie IX, in press

[3] M.Badiale, M.Guida, S.Rolando, *Elliptic equations with decaying cylindrical potentials and power-type nonlinearities*, Adv. Differential Equations **12** (2007), 1321–1362

[4] M.Badiale, S.Rolando, *Vortices with prescribed L^2 norm in the nonlinear wave equation*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **8** (2008), 817–842

[5] J.Bellazzini, N.Visciglia, *On the orbital stability for a class of nonautonmous NLS*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., online preprint

[6] V.Benci, N.Visciglia, *Solitary waves with non-vanishing angular momentum*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **3** (2003), 151–160

[7] H.Berestycki, P.L.Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **82** (1982), 313–345

[8] T. Cazenave, P.L. Lions, *Orbital Stability of Standing Waves for Some Non linear Schrödinger Equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. **85** (1982), 549-561

[9] M.J.Esteban, P.L.Lions, *A compactness lemma*, Nonlinear Anal. **7** (1983), 381–385

[10] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the Calculus of Variations. The locally compact case, part 2*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **1** (1984), 223–283

[11] W.A. Strauss, *Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **55** (1977), 429–441

[12] L.R. Volevic, B.P. Paneyakh, *Certain spaces of generalized functions and embedding theorems*, Russian Math. Surveys **20** (1965), 1–73

Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata "U. Dini", University of Pisa, via Buonarroti 1/c, 56127 Pisa, ITALY
E-mail address: `j.bellazzini@ing.unipi.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata "U. Dini", University of Pisa, via Buonarroti 1/c, 56127 Pisa, ITALY
E-mail address: `bonanno@mail.dm.unipi.it`